



United States Patent and Trademark Office



M

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/830,818	09/04/2001	Franz Haimerl	FA/206A	2203
75	90 02/21/2003			
Carol A Lewis White			EXAMINER	
W L Gore & Associates Inc 551 Paper Mill Road PO Box 9206 Newark, DE 19714-9206			PATTERSON, MARIE D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Newalk, DE)/14-) 200		3728	
			DATE MAILED: 02/21/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/830,818	HAIMERL ET AL.			
		·	Art Unit			
		Examiner	3728			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	Marie Patterson				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>16 Ja</u>	anuarv 2003 .				
·	<u> </u>	s action is non-final.				
3)□ \$						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ C	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>66-124</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 67-89,91,92,94-111 and 114-124 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)∏ C	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ C	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>66,90,93,112 and 113</u> is/are rejected.					
7) 🗌 C	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 April 2001</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1.	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2.	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of	f References Cited (PTO-892) f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>3</u> .	· <u> </u>	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/830,818 Page 2

Art Unit: 3728

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of Species II shown in figure 7 in Paper No. 10 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

2. Claims 67-89, 91, 92, 94-111, and 114-124 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper No. 10.

Drawings

3. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: 33a. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. Claims 66, 90, 93, and 113 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 66 and 113 the phrase "the inner side of the latter" is confusing, vague, and indefinite.

Application/Control Number: 09/830,818

Art Unit: 3728

In claims 66 and 113 the phrase "the sole side" lacks antecedent basis rendering the claims vague and indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claim 112 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Strickland (5820719).

Strickland shows an outsole (26) with a non reacted reactive hot melt adhesive (10) thereon.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 66, 90, and 113 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haimerl (5285546) in view of Strickland '719.

Haimerl shows a shoe and method of forming the shoe comprising an upper having an outer layer (12) and a functional layer (16) and an outsole (14) with upturned edges (14a) and an adhesive (26) substantially as claimed except for the exact adhesive.

Strickland teaches the use of hot melt adhesive (10) on an outsole (26) for attaching an

Page 3

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/830,818

Art Unit: 3728

outsole to an upper. It would have been obvious to use a hot melt adhesvie as taught by Strickland in the shoe and method of Haimerl to provide an easily applied and activated adhesive which is convenient.

9. Claims 66, 90, 93, and 113 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haimerl in view of either Hung (5616625) or Akasaki (4894277).

Haimerl shows a shoe and method of forming the shoe comprising an upper having an outer layer (12) and a functional layer (16) and an outsole (14) with upturned edges (14a) and an adhesive (26) substantially as claimed except for the exact adhesive. Either Hung or Akasaki teaches the use of an expanded reactive hot-melt adhesive for bonding dissimilar materials and providing excellent moisture and chemical resistance (see Hung column 1 lines 38-44) or for improved sealability and softness of touch (Akasaki column 2 lines 10-15). It would have been obvious to use expanded reactive hot-melt adhesive as taught by either Hung or Akasaki in the shoe and method of Haimerl to provide an excellent bond which is moisture and chemical resistant or form improved sealability and softness.

1. Telephone inquiries regarding the status of application or other general questions, by persons entitled to the information, "should be directed to the group clerical personnel and not to the Examiners. In as much as the official records and applications are located in the clerical section of the examining groups, the clerical personnel can readily provide status information without contacting the examiners", M.P.E.P. 203.08. The Group clerical receptionist number is (703) 308-1148 or the Tech Center 3700 Customer Service Center number is (703) 306-5648. For applicant's convenience, the Group Technological Center FAX number is (703) 872-9302. (Note that the Examiner cannot confirm receipt of faxes) Please identify Examiner of Art Unit _____ at the top of your cover sheet of any correspondence submitted.

Inquiries only concerning the **merits** of the examination should be directd to **Marie** Patterson whose telephone number is (703) 308-0069.

Page 5

If in receiving this Office Action it is apparent to applicant that certain documents are missing, e.g. copies of references cited, form PTO-1449, for PTO-892, etc. requests for copies of such papers should be directed to (703) 308-1337.

Check out our web-site at "www.uspto.gov" for fees and other useful information.

Marie Patterson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3728